Pulsars and Supernovae II

10. CASE STUDY: THE DOUBLE PULSAR SYSTEM PSR J0737-3039A / B

Lecture 8 revision: Evolution of binary systems

- If the system is a high-mass X-ray binary (HMXB), the companion will quickly form a supernova.
- If the binary system survives, we have a double neutron star binary system. Eccentricity is generally large.



• If the binary system is disrupted (as seems to be common) we have two free pulsars -- one young and the other mildly recycled.

mildly recycled pulsar

young pulsar

double neutron star binary

Background

• Only about 10% of known pulsars are millisecond pulsars (MSPs), but about 80% of these are in binary systems.



Background

- Just one binary system is known that comprises TWO pulsars, the double pulsar system J0737-3039.
- ns-ns systems can be very compact resulting is short orbital periods, and large rates of change of Doppler shift, making them hard to find.
- All these ns-ns systems are relativistic (in the sense that GR corrections are important), including both the Hulse-Taylor pulsar PSR B1913+16 and the double pulsar system J0737-3039.



First discovery

• The system was discovered in a survey of the high galactic plane using the Parkes multibeam receiver. As it typical in a survey, the original observation was only 4 min long.

First discovery

- At first, only one pulsar was seen in the binary system – a 22.7 ms pulsar (now) designated J0737-3039A. The system is the most relativistic known.
- It is in a short-period orbit (2.4 h) and is therefore relatively close to merger with its companion (85 Myr). Its first claim to fame was that this increased the statistical estimate of the ns merger rate in the Galaxy.
- This was important because in turn it increased the probability of detecting gravitational waves from coalescing neutron stars (now p=1!)

An increased estimate of the merger rate of double neutron stars from observations of a highly relativistic system

M. Burgay¹, N. D'Amico^{2,3}, A. Possenti^{3,4}, R. N. Manchester⁵, A. G. Lyne⁶, B. C. Joshi^{6,7}, M. A. McLaughlin⁶, M. Kramer⁶, J. M. Sarkissian⁵, F. Camilo⁸, V. Kalogera⁹, C. Kim⁹ & D. R. Lorimer⁶

¹Università degli Studi di Bologna, Dipartimento di Astronomia, via Ranzani 1, 40127, Bologna, Italy

²Università degli Studi di Cagliari, Dipartimento di Fisica, SP Monserrato-Sestu km 0.7, 09042 Monserrato, Italy

³INAF—Osservatorio Astronomico di Cagliari, Loc. Poggio dei Pini, Strada 54, 09012 Capoterra, Italy

⁴INAF_Osservatorio Astronomico di Bologna, via Ranzani 1, 40127, Bologna, Italy ⁵Australia Telescope National Facility, CSIRO, PO Box 76, Epping, New South Wales 2121, Australia

⁶University of Manchester, Jodrell Bank Observatory, Macclesfield, Cheshire, SK11 9DL, UK

⁷National Center for Radio Astrophysics, PO Bag 3, Ganeshkhind, Pune 411007, India

⁸Columbia Astrophysics Laboratory, Columbia University, 550 West 120th Street, New York, New York 10027, USA

⁹Northwestern University, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA

The merger1 of close binary systems containing two neutron stars should produce a burst of gravitational waves, as predicted by the theory of general relativity2. A reliable estimate of the doubleneutron-star merger rate in the Galaxy is crucial in order to predict whether current gravity wave detectors will be successful in detecting such bursts. Present estimates of this rate are rather low3-7, because we know of only a few double-neutron-star binaries with merger times less than the age of the Universe. Here we report the discovery of a 22-ms pulsar, PSR J0737-3039, which is a member of a highly relativistic double-neutron-star binary with an orbital period of 2.4 hours. This system will merge in about 85 Myr, a time much shorter than for any other known neutron-star binary. Together with the relatively low radio luminosity of PSR J0737-3039, this timescale implies an orderof-magnitude increase in the predicted merger rate for doubleneutron-star systems in our Galaxy (and in the rest of the Universe).

Nature, **426**, 531-533 (2003)

Second discovery!

- Pulsars seem to attract serendipity:
 - Shortly after the discovery, Duncan Lorimer was observing at Parkes and testing some search software on readily available test data – data taken on PSR J0737-3039. To his surprise he found a second signal with the same dispersion but a period of 2.77 s, and with the opposite orbital Doppler shift to the known pulsar.
 - This second pulsar appears to switch on only for two ~10 min intervals at the same point in every 2.4 h orbit -- unfortunately these intervals were not used in the initial discovery!





Post-newtonian effects

- The pulsars are orbiting at ~ 0.001c, so relativistic effects are important. In addition to $P_{\rm orb}$, a, i, e, ω and T_0 we need additional 'post keplerian' orbital parameters:
 - Shapiro delay parameters (gravitational delay of radiation) *r*, *s*
 - Periastron advance $\dot{\omega}$
 - Gravitational redshift variations over the orbit (Einstein delay) γ
 - Orbital decay rate due to gravitational wave damping $\dot{P}_{\rm b}$



Shapiro delays

• The strong Shapiro delay implies we are seeing the orbits nearly 'edge-on' ($i = 87^{\circ} \pm 1^{\circ}$).



Mass-mass diagram



• Because both are pulsars, we can measure $a_A \sin i$ and $a_B \sin i$ and therefore measure the mass ratio $R = \frac{m_A}{m_B} = 1.069$.

Shaded regions excluded by $|M \sin i < M|$

The double pulsar system PSR J0737-3039

Testing GR

• The measured PK parameters are in good agreement with the predictions of GR:

PK parameter	Observed value	Expected value from GR	Ratio of observed to expected value
Żb	1.252(17)	1.24787(13)	1.003(14)
γ (ms)	0.3856(26)	0.38418(22)	1.0036(68)
5	0.99974(-39,+16)	0.99987(-48,+13)	0.99987(50)
r (μs)	6.21(33)	6.153(26)	1.009(55)

Kramer et al. 2006

- Orbital decay of \sim 7mm per day.
- In addition, GR spin precession ('geodetic precession') has been seen too, slowly changing the beam geometry. Pulsar B (2.8 s period) precessed out of view in March 2008. Should come back into view ~2035.

Overall system parameters

Timing parameter	PSR J0737-3039A	PSR J0737-3039B	
Right ascension α	07h37m51s.24927(3)	_	•
Declination δ	-30°39′40″.7195(5)	_	
Proper motion in the RA direction (mas year -1)	-3.3(4)	_	
Proper motion in declination (mas year-1)	2.6(5)	_	
Parallax π (mas)	3(2)	_	
Spin frequency v (Hz)	44.054069392744(2)	0.36056035506(1)	
Spin frequency derivative \dot{v} (s ⁻²)	$-3.4156(1) imes 10^{-15}$	-0.116(1) $ imes$ 10 $^{-15}$	
Timing epoch (MJD)	53,156.0	53,156.0	
Dispersion measure DM (cm ⁻³ pc)	48.920(5)	_	
Orbital period P _b (day)	0.10225156248(5)	_	
Eccentricity e	0.0877775(9)	_	
Projected semimajor axis $x = (a/c) \sin i$ (s)	1.415032(1)	1.5161(16)	
Longitude of periastron ω (°)	87.0331(8)	87.0331 + 180.0	
Epoch of periastron T _o (M]D)	53,155.9074280(2)	—	
Advance of periastron ώ (°/year)	16.89947(68)	[16.96(5)]	
Gravitational redshift parameter γ (ms)	0.3856(26)	—	
Shapiro delay parameter s	0.99974(-39,+16)	—	
Shapiro delay parameter r (µs)	6.21(33)	—	
Orbital period derivative \dot{P}_b	$-$ 1.252(17) $ imes$ 10 $^{-12}$	_	
Timing data span (M]D)	52,760 to 53,736	52,760 to 53,736	
Number of time offsets fitted	10	12	
RMS timing residual σ (μs)	54	2169	
Total proper motion (mas year $^{-1}$)	4.20	(4)	
Distance d(DM) (pc)	~50	00	
Distance $d(\pi)$ (pc)	200 to 1,000		
Transverse velocity ($d = 500 \text{ pc}$) (km s ⁻¹)	10(1)		
Orbital inclination angle (°)	88.69(-76,+50)		
Mass function (M_{\odot})	0.29096571(87)	0.3579(11)	
Mass ratio R	1.0714(11)		
Total system mass (M _©)	2.58708(16)		
Neutron star mass (m_)	1.3381(7)	1.2489(7)	
			Kramer et a

The double pulsar system PSR J0737-3039

Pulsar interactions

- We see the system edgeon, so that (the more energetic) pulsar A probes the magnetophere of pulsar B as it shines through it.
- Scintillation data indicate that the orbit is less than 0.3 degrees from edge-on!



sight. (Bottom) View from the side, showing the passage of the line of sight from A to the Earth through the magnetosphere of B. The approximate position of the pressure balance between the relativistic wind from A and the magnetic field of B is indicated.

Lyne et al. 2004



Pulsar interaction

• In addition there is some sort of coupling that energises pulsar B at certain orbital phases – probably the points where the magnetosphere is most strongly excited



Pulsar interaction

• The bright times and profiles for pulsar B are changing with time.



FIG. 1.—Intensity of the PSR J0737-3039B pulse emission at 1390 MHz as a function of orbital longitude and pulse phase at six epochs. Only the longitude range $180^{\circ}-310^{\circ}$ covering the two bright phases bp1 (*lower*) and bp2 (*upper*) and a pulse-phase window of 0.1 pulse periods centered on the pulse are shown. The dashed lines represent the longitude of periastron at each epoch.

Burgay et al. 2005

Pulsar interaction

- As the wind from (MSP) pulsar A blows strongly onto the magnetosphere of pulsar B we get long-term and short-term variations in the output of pulsar B.
- About half of B's magnetosphere is blown away.
- The graph shows the eclipsed flux of A, with dotted lines showing times then B's active magnetic pole faces Earth. The eclipse lasts for ~30 s.



McLaughlin et al, 2004

Pulsar B's magnetosheath

- The geometry is similar to the Earth's bowshock in the solar wind.
- Field of ~10 Gauss at the magnetopause.



2: Diagram (not to scale) showing the interaction between the relativistic wind from pulsar A and the magnetosphere of pulsar B. The collision of A's wind and B's magnetosphere creates a magnetosheath of hot, magnetized plasma surrounding the magnetosphere of B. The rotation of B inside this sheath modulates A's eclipse, as shown in figure 2.





Good luck!





https://imgs.xkcd.com/comics/gravitational_wave_pulsars.png